

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/899,079	07/05/2001	Constance Elaine Lewis		3537	
75	90 07/03/2002				
CONSTANCE LEWIS			EXAM	EXAMINER	
16 Windy Acres Rd Cleveland, GA 30528			BREVARD, M	BREVARD, MAERENA W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3727		

DATE MAILED: 07/03/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		~		$M\Lambda$
	Application No		Applicant(s)	
Office Assista Surremant	09/899,079		LEWIS, CONSTANCE ELAINE	
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Maerena W. Bre	1	3727	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cove	er sneet with the co	orresponaence ad	iaress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, how ly within the statutory m will apply and will expire e, cause the application	vever, may a reply be tim inimum of thirty (30) days s SIX (6) MONTHS from to to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered time the mailing date of this co	ly. ommunication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u>	<i>July 2001</i> .			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ Th	his action is non-	final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under				ne merits is
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.	•			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from conside	ration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election require	ement.		
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	or			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		eted to by the Evar	miner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re			•	
12)⊠ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been rec	eived.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been rec	eived in Applicati	on No	
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	ureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		Stage
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisiona	l application).
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language present</li> <li>15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)	as priority under	23 0.0.0. 33 120	without their	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🗀	Interview Summary Notice of Informal F Other:	r (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT	

Art Unit: 3727

· .

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Oath/Declaration

- 1. It does not identify the city and either state or foreign country of residence of each inventor. The residence information may be provided on either on an application data sheet or supplemental oath or declaration.
- 2. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

### Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a).

- "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)
- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
  - (1) Field of the Invention.
  - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Art Unit: 3727

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 1-5 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claims are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure that goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claims must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patents cited.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: the sleeve and lining of the bag. It appears that claims 2 and 3 are actually part of claim 1, but as they read now, they are three incomplete, independent claims.

Claims 2-4 are indefinite since each claim has an unclear circumference measurement.

Art Unit: 3727

The dependent claims not specifically mentioned are rejected as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, since they inherently contain the same deficiencies therein.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jackson.

Jackson discloses a carrying case for an umbrella comprising:

- A sleeve (10) constructed of a vinyl (Column 2, lines 34-36) having a circumference and a fitted grommet (14);
- An inner lining (20);
- The inner lining is of a material of polymers (Column 2, lines 43-46);
- The sleeves conform to the cylindrical volume and contact an umbrella when inserted in the sleeve (Figures 1 and 5); and
- The bag includes a strap (13) attached to the outside upper end.

#### Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Thomas, Loew, Gobel, and El-Edwy are cited for unbrella holders.
- 8. It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is deposited with the U. S. Postal Service and mailed to the Office by First Class Mail before the reply time has expired, applicant may submit the reply with a "Certificate of Mailing" which merely asserts that the reply is being mailed on a given date. So mailed, before the period for reply has expired, the reply may be considered timely. A suggested format for a certificate follows:

Art Unit: 3727

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to:

	Washington, DC 20231 on(date).
Туре	d or printed name of person signing this certificate
Signa	uture
Ū	

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maerena W. Brevard whose telephone number is 703/305-0037. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th; 8:00 AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee W. Young can be reached on 703/308-2572. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703/872-9302 for regular communications and 703/746-4224 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703/305-0037.

Maerena Brevard June 25, 2002

Stephen K. Cronin Primary Examiner